





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,138	12/08/2000	Rajan Mathew Lukose	1508/3150 (A0856)	2121
75	12/19/2002			
Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square			EXAMINER	
			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	0
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/733,138

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Daniel Felten

Art Unit 3624

Lukose

The MAILING DATE of t	his communication appears on	the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the mailing date of this communication. 	e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
If the period for reply specified above is less If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the set of	maximum statutory period will apply and riod for reply will, by statute, cause the a nree months after the mailing date of this	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDON	m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) X Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>Dec 2, 2000</u>	0			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) 💢 This action	n is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆 Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) Claims		are subject t	o restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the drav	wing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examine					
If approved, corrected dra	wings are required in reply to	this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Examine	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square	None of:				
1. Certified copies of t	he priority documents have I	been received.			
2. Certified copies of t	he priority documents have t	been received in Appli	cation No		
application for	ed copies of the priority doctor the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed O					
14) Acknowledgement is made	·				
	oreign language provisional a	, ,			
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is mad Attachment(s)	de of a claim for domestic pr	ionty under 35 0.5.C.	. 33 120 dNu/01 121.		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PT0-4	113) Paper No(s).		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		Notice of Informal Patent A			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Applicant(s): Lukose (705/37)

Page 2

Representative: Leinberg (35,584)

Art Unit: 3624

Serial Number: 09/733,138

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarbox (US 6,154,732) in view of Walker et al (hereinafter "Walker", US 5,794,207).

Tarbox discloses all a method/system claims related to electronically selling information (investment advise) to a buyer (investor), the wherein the method/system comprises receiving an offer (fee(s)) for the information including at least one contingency from the buyer; providing the information in response to the offer; and receiving a first payment for the information if at least one condition for the contingency is satisfied after the information has been provided to the buyer (see col.3, ll. 21+; col. 2, ll. 4-46). Tarbox fails to disclose sending a counteroffer to the buyer based on the offer, however, this feature disclosed by Walker (see at least col. 9, ll. 4-51). It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of Tarbox to integrate the counteroffer feature, as disclosed in Walker, because an artisan at the time of the invention of Tarbox would have recognized that the ability to counteroffer would allow the system to make recommendations that cater to participants

Serial Number: 09/733,138 Applicant(s): Lukose (705/37) Page 3

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Leinberg (35,584)

financial needs based upon available portfolio and/or allocation adjustments and the fees

- associated with the execution and creation of the available portfolio and/or allocation
- adjustments. Thus to allow the system to interactively adjust and negotiate through the
- participants risk tolerances within the limitations of available resources would have constituted
- an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

10 3. A list of relevant prior art appears below not relied upon in this Office Action:

11 US Patents:

- Killeen, Jr. et al (US 6,324,523 B1) discloses an integrated client relationship management
- 13 processor
- Bailey (US 5,227,967) discloses a security instrument data system without property inapplicable
- 15 nulls

17

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

- Goldhaber et al (US 5,794,210) discloses an attention brokerage
- 18 Foreign Patents:
- Holland (0434 877 A1) discloses a computer system for portfolio management investment
- 20 functions
- Seoung (EP 1 035 486 A2) discloses research method utilizing computer communication
 - 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Daniel S. Felten* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Leinberg (35,584)

examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday.

2 Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should

- be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor
- 4 Vincent Millin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.
- 5. Response to this action should be mailed to:
- 8 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
- Washington, D.C. 20231

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

22

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

DSF

December 12, 2002

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

UPERVISORY PAIRM EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600